

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/763,811	01/23/2004	David Schuttler	A2000-701319	5007	
37462	7590 02/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LOWRIE, LANDO & ANASTASI RIVERFRONT OFFICE ONE MAIN STREET, ELEVENTH FLOOR			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, KHIEM M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	E, MA 02142		2839		
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/763,811	SCHUTTLER, DA	SCHUTTLER, DAVID			
		Examiner	Art Unit	*			
		Khiem Nguyen	2839				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION MINIOR THE MAY BE AVAILABLE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a second for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to receive the may be compared by the Office later than three months after the may be departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, m reply within the statutory minimum of will expire SIX (6) tute, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>7 and 15</u> is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
ا (٥	claim(s) are subject to restriction and	u/or election requirement	·•				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the atta-	ched Office Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have b	een received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the International Bur						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachmen		- A_0					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		iew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) Notice	e of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (4,595,248) in view of Bernat et al.

Brown discloses a terminal block 10 comprising a first portion 20 comprising a plurality of apertures 40 each for receiving at least one socket 26; and a second portion 22 comprising a plurality of stalls 76 each having an electrical port 52 positioned in each of the plurality of stalls; and at least one connector pin 50 positioned within one of the plurality of stalls to connect to the at least one socket through the aperture. As to claims 1 and 8, it is noted that the first portion 20 lacks a plurality of stalls and the socket is not shown attached to wires. However, Brown already discloses that it is known to provide a plurality of stalls for his second portion 22 and he also show wires 16 being attached to his pins 50. Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide a plurality of stalls for the first portion 20 and to attach wires to the sockets 26 of Brown, since this only relate to a known design choice and obvious placement of parts.

As to claims 2-3 and 9-11, Brown lacks to disclose that his sockets and pins are float-connected to the first and second portions by float-connecting

Art Unit: 2839

means. Bernat et al. discloses jack contacts 18 float-connected to a jack housing by float-connecting means. Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide sockets and pins that are float-connected to the first and second portions by float-connecting means for the terminal block of Brown in view of the teaching of Bernat et al. which would allow for easier mating of the pins and sockets to each other.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 7 and 15 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not include a terminal block tray on which the stalls of the second portion are positioned; an output ground connection connected to the terminal block tray; and a wire panel connected to the terminal block tray.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nielsen, Smith and Newman are further cited to show electrical connection block arrangements.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khiem Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272-2096. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,811 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TC Patel can be reached on 571 272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khiem Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2839